

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,795	04/02/2002	Rainer Gloeckler	Mueller-41	7281
7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER	
C James Bushman			BOS, STEVEN J	
Browning Bush 5718 Westhein		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1800		1754		
Houston, TX 77057-5771			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>.</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.00	10/019,795	GLOECKLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven Bos	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	November 2004.				
	nis action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-13 and 15-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	· ·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached O	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been received.	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment/c\					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	fail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

In the specification, pg. 3, line 20, "formiate" is misspelled. It appears that – formate – was intended.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 21, penultimate line, "hydrates an computed as Al ₂O₃" is indefinite as to what is meant by this language. It appears that – hydrates and computed as Al₂O₃ – was intended.

In claim 21, proper Markush language is required otherwise the claim is indefinite at "versalates and their mixtures or copolymers." It appears that – versalates, their copolymers and mixtures thereof – or the like is intended.

In claim 22, "one or more basic aluminum salts an done or more acidic aluminum salts" is indefinite as to what is meant by this language. It appears that – one or more basic aluminum salts and one or more acidic aluminum salts – was intended.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 10-13,15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magee '526.

Magee '526 suggests the instantly claimed process of adding an organic polymer, ie. polyethylene, having the instantly claimed diameter so that it would act as a nuclei, and at a weight % which overlaps that instantly claimed, to an aluminum salt solution to precipitate said salts and form alumina. See cols. 1,2. The taught process

Application/Control Number: 10/019,795

Art Unit: 1754

being the same as that instantly claimed would therefore produce the instantly claimed product.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical process(es) the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Applicant's arguments filed November 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that claim 10 specifically calls for the polymer to be of a type that forms a latex.

However this is unpersuasive since instant claim 10 nowhere requires that the polymer be of a type that forms a latex; claim 10 only requires the use of an organic polymer/oligomer which is what is taught by Magee '526 above.

Claims 9,21,22 appear to be allowable over the cited prior art of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Bos

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754

sjb